DD/S&T# 685-76

76-0638

Executive Registry

76 - 0047/2

25X9 25X9

25X9

12 FEB 1976

MANDRANDLM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT

: Management of Agency Supergrade Positions,

Coiling and Personnel

## 1. Action Requested:

On 4 February 1976 the CIA Management Committee unanimously recommended approval of the proposals contained in paragraph 4 of this memorandum relative to Agency policies and procedures governing the unmagement of supergrade positions, ceiling and personnel. Your approval of these recommendations is requested.

## 2. Background:

a. The Agency has been under close scrutiny from CAB for the past two years as regards justification to retain our current supergrade allowance. The fact that Agency personnel levels have declined from \_\_\_\_\_\_ in 1969 to approximately \_\_\_\_\_\_ at present while our supergrade allowance has increased from \_\_\_\_\_\_ (including Scientific Pay Scale positions) to \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ positions) has not escaped CAB's attention. While we have repeatedly emphasized that we view our supergrade requirements as a function of level of responsibility rather than of organizational size, CAB remains skeptical of the need for our present supergrade allowance (i.e., ceiling) of \_\_\_\_\_\_

b. Prior to FY 1975 the Agency ceiling established by GAB covered only staff personnel. Our supergrade allowance was not specifically associated with our staff ceiling, but we chose to treat it as such and did not charge our contract "supergrade" employees within our supergrade ceiling. The fact that we have a number of contract "supergrade" personnel has never been specifically surfaced to OMB. Now that we have a single ceiling encompassing both staff and contract personnel, it seems likely that we will be expected to count any contract "supergrade" employees within our GAB-authorized supergrade allowance. Therefore, unless specifically required for incumbents of certain positions (inter-Agency representation, etc.), it is important to treat senior contract employees strictly on a pay figure without using language in the contracts or elsewhere which equates specifically to supergrade status.

ILLEGIB

Approved For Release 2002/05/02 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000600080008-4

25X9

25X9

c. The House Appropriations Committee, during its review of the Agency's 1976 budget, questioned the "very high grade structure with positions GS-16 and above."

- d. Historically, the Agency has never used its full supergrade allowance. Even following the recent promotion exercise, we were still 12 below ceiling (excluding 11 contract "supergrade" employees) as of 31 December 1975.
- e. The atmosphere created by the House and Senate Select Committees, coupled with the attention of both C'B and the House Appropriations Committee to our current supergrade situation, not to mention the reduction in Southeast Asia supergrade requirements, suggests that a request to CMB for an increase at this time would not be viewed sympathetically.
- f. Three of the Agency's four Directorates and the Office of the DCI are now requesting additional supergrade positions which cannot be accommodated within the current Agency supergrade coiling of Regardless of the validity of such requirements, the foregoing factors, coupled with the reduction in Southeast Asia supergrade requirements, do not permit the Agency to develop a strong case for an increase in our supergrade authorization at this time.


## 3. Staff Position:

- a. The increased attention which is being given from without to the Agency's supergrade situation, in addition to the competition for the limited available ceiling from within, suggests an urgent need to develop a more effective program for the management of supergrade positions, ceiling and personnel. It is equally clear that supergrade allocation is an Agency-wide problem that involves competing demands and requirements as perceived by the various Career Services which, in the aggregate, exceed the present supergrade ceiling and, therefore, involve considerations which transcend individual Directorate interests. This would suggest that the present approach to the problem -- the distribution of the Agency's total authorized supergrade allowances in permanently fixed allocations to the Career Services -- which does not lend itself to solution by any one Directorate -- should be reviewed and possibly abandoned in favor of a new approach involving centralized management and control of ceiling at the Agency level.
- b. The Director's internal initiatives in support of the President's appeal for assistance in reducing rising personnel costs must include preservation of the integrity of the position classification function. In carrying out their responsibilities relative to effective position management and manpower utilization, the Deputy Directors should have the benefit of position classification expertise proffered by the Office of Personnel.

25X9

25X9

25X1A



Approved For Release 2002/05/024: CIA-RDP82-00357R000600080008-4

## Approved For Release 2002/05/02 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000600080008-4

SUBJECT: Management of Agency Supergrade Positions, Ceiling and Personnel

APPROVED	Is Vernon A:	Wallers	25 FEB 1976
-	・ 一般では、「一般など、これの情報と、「「「「「」」」、「「」」、「「」」、「「」」、「「」」、「「」」、「「」	The state of the s	Date
DISAPPROVED:	ngaganag ago. Tita estabanos ana enterprintant des adottes ado te ado	grapio antigono e altri planti antigra de esta e esta antigo de esta esta esta esta esta esta esta est	gja segenyestekan or para ja vitteritäryystä, til et sku tilpin augisvustiavistik tilpin ogsissatika at
			late
			(Signed) F. W. M. Janney
		ORIGINATOR:	
			F. W. M. Janney Director of Personnel
			10 FEB 1976
Distribution:			
	return to D/P	ers	
1 - DIXI 1 - ER			
	LIA Mgrat Com		
1 - DD/A			
1 - D/Pers			
1 - DD/Per	's-PGC		•
on/psd	liro (6 Feb 76	)	

FLB 12 4 20 17

25X1A


FEB 25 11 10 A. 176

EK

	SENDER WILL CHEC	K CLAL	CONFIDENT		D BOT	SECRE?
_1		IAL F	ROUTING		•	
						INITIAL
го			<del>**</del>	DAT	-+	
1						
	-		IT			
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						ī
	ACTION	DII	ECT REPLY			REPLY
	APPROVAL	ומ	PATCH	R	ECOMM	ENDATION
					ETURN	
	COMMENT	FI	.E			
Re	COMMENT CONCURRENCE marks:	IN	FORMATION	s	IGNATU	
Re		IN	FORMATION	s	IGNATU	
Re	marks: Wheel	in di	the le	so s	MARIE	
Re	marks: Whice	IN A	The Cultury	SG	MARIE	
Re	marks: Whice	IN A	the le	SG	MARIE	wdy J
Re	marks: Whice	HERE T	The Cultury	SG SENI	MACE	walg J

25X1A

25X1A



SENDER WILL CH UNCLASSIFIED	IECV CI	104710			
INCLASSIFIED				AND BO	ОТТОМ
UNGDASSIFIEL		CONFIDE	NTIAL		SECRET
OFFI	CIAL	ROUTING	G SL	IP	
то			DA	TE	INITIALS
1					
2	•				
3					
4					
5					
6 ACTION			<u> </u>		r
ACTION		ECT REPLY		REPARE	
COMMENT		PATCH	1		ENDATION
CONGURRENCE	FIL			ETURN	
CONCORRENCE	INI	ORMATION		IGNATU	₹E
Remarks:	_				
Singustin	ls. vidl	laak au ita -	th The	lis rom	over nemtg
Almi- f Dinguistin	ls. vide	RETURN TO	SENDE		Orer remtz
Sleet- f Suggestion	ls. vide	RETURN TO	SENDE D.		

25X1A

FORM NO. 237 Use previous editions # GPO : 1974 O - 535-857

	Г	K CLASS (CATION	<del>   </del>	
	UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDEN	TIAL	SECRET
	OFFICI	IAL ROUTING	SLIP	
0	NAME AND	ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	Frank			
2				
3				
4	Mary			
5				
6				
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPA	RE REPLY
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH		MENOATION
	COMMENT	FILE	RETUR	N
	CONCURRENCE			
len	narks: A first cut at t	the Supergrad	e Regula	
Ren	narks:  A first cut at the Comptroller's but doesn-t apost SPS Reg. as contained the did Supergrade Region of this one.? If other, ceiling	the Supergradits on the Boapear elsewher not appear in eg., presume	e Regulard as "a e. He is riewing the previous corrent n one ar	ation  dvisor'' s in the SPS ceiling rious ly left out
Ren	narks:  A first cut at the Comptroller's but doesn't apost SPS Reg. as contained as a supergrade Regular of this one.? If other, ceiling cases.  Comments???	the Supergradits on the Boapear elsewher not not appear in g presume Not sure why ig is a perk of	e Regulard as "a e. He in the previous corrent one ar the DCI	ation  dvisor'' s in the SPS ceiling rious ly left out
Ren	A first cut at to Comptroller so but doesn-t apos SPS Reg. as one et al. He did Supergrade Resof this one.? If other, ceiling cases.  Comments???	the Supergradits on the Boa pear elsewher noting revinot appear in g presume Not sure why i	e Regulard as "a e. He iviewing the previous corrent" n one ar the DCI	ation  dvisor'' s in the SPS ceiling rious ly left out
Ren	A first cut at to Comptroller so but doesn-t apos SPS Reg. as one et al. He did Supergrade Resof this one.? If other, ceiling cases.  Comments???	the Supergradits on the Boapear elsewher not not appear in g. , presume Not sure why ig is a perk of	e Regulard as "a e. He iviewing the previous corrent" n one ar the DCI	dvisor'' s in the SPS ceiling vious by left out and not the in both